

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,296	08/10/2001	James C. Thomas JR.	45659/FLC/T503	4976
23363 75	90 03/03/2006		EXAMINER	
•	ARKER & HALE, LLP	GORT, ELAINE L		
PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3627	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09	9/927,296	THOMAS, JAMES	3 C.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		1	aine Gort	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we perly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE f 37 CFR 1.136(a). nication. utory period will ap ill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MO e the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 Janua	rv 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	·							
/—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-57</u> is/are pending in the application.							
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 45-57 are subject to restriction	on and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	•	, ,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A++ n= h	Ma)							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) U Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 45-51, drawn to a method of providing rebates, classified in class
 705, subclass 30.
- II. Claims 52-57, drawn to a system for providing rebates, classified in class 709, subclass 311.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Invention I. can be carried out, at least in part, by hand. For example the steps of linking the accounts and/or calculating a rebate amount can be carried out by an individual by hand.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for each Invention is not required for the other Inventions, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elaine Gort whose telephone number is 571/272-6781. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571/272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elaine Gort Examiner Art Unit 3627

February 27, 2006